9311 Integrated Automation System (IAS)

# NB518:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Question | Answers |
| Are you satisfied with the technical output and choises of the system? | * Design: I helped with IAS interfaces and it was nice. * Design: Yes |
| Rules requirements : Easy/hard? Everything fulfilled? Issues with class worth mentioning? | * Design: The co-operation with Class LR was top professional and very well remembered. * Design: No problems |
| Material (purchase, logistics, budget) : Your experience and feedback? | * Design: Our small purchases for mounting parts was made difficult by payment reputation of ours. Also the delivery lead times of many materials and parts was long. * Design: Budget was good, yard was refunded. |
| Drawings (schedule, comments received, changes..) : Lessons learned? | * Design: Was good. * Design: First time in 20 years automation design made all drawings, not outsourced. |
| Communication (internal and external), Co-operation with different parties (In own team, other design teams, subcontractors, production, suppliers, TK.. ) | * Design: Pleasant and efficient co-operation in spite of the very heavy workload of the good electrical design colleagues. * Design: Machinery design have skilled designers. |
| Comparison to NB516-517 - did we learn? | * Design: Was OK. * Design: Jira and ERM doesn't work as I expected. ACAD without electrical symbols. |
| Other comments? | * Design: More teamwork before purchase of different sister systems. |